

Reply to comment by Liu and Liu on “ $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of ignimbrite from Inner Mongolia, northeastern China, indicates a post-Middle Jurassic age for the overlying Daohugou Bed”

H. Y. He,¹ X. L. Wang,² Z. H. Zhou,² R. X. Zhu,¹ F. Jin,² F. Wang,¹ X. Ding,³ and A. Boven⁴

Received 22 February 2005; revised 24 April 2005; accepted 5 May 2005; published 30 June 2005.

Citation: He, H. Y., X. L. Wang, Z. H. Zhou, R. X. Zhu, F. Jin, F. Wang, X. Ding, and A. Boven (2005), Reply to comment by Liu and Liu on “ $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of ignimbrite from Inner Mongolia, northeastern China, indicates a post-Middle Jurassic age for the overlying Daohugou Bed”, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L12315, doi:10.1029/2005GL022787.

[1] *Liu and Liu* [2005] have questioned our conclusion of the post Middle Jurassic age for the Daohugou bed [*He et al.*, 2004], and instead suggested that the same deposit is equivalent to the Tiaojishan Formation of the late Middle Jurassic. Their arguments were mainly based on their own understanding of the Daohugou Biota-bearing sequences and a series of SHRIMP U-Pb ages of the zircon from the igneous rocks in the Daohugou area. Unfortunately, their comments have provided neither any new direct evidence from the field observation to falsify our sequence of the Daohugou Bed, nor any of the new dating which is in direct conflict with our dating results of the ignimbrites.

[2] First, although there exists dispute over the interpretation of the Daohugou Biota-bearing sequence, there is little dispute over the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of the ignimbrite in the Daohugou section by *He et al.* [2004]. *Liu and Liu* [2005] provided a series of SHRIMP U-Pb ages of the zircon ranging from 152–165Ma, which is, however, generally consistent with our dating result [*Chen et al.*, 2004; *He et al.*, 2004; *Liu et al.*, 2004; *Ren et al.*, 2002].

[3] Second, *Liu and Liu* [2005] referred one SHRIMP U-Pb zircon age (164–165Ma) of the tuff interbedded in the fossil-bearing shale [*Yang and Li*, 2004]. We had dated by ourselves the interbedded tuffs (arguably the same tuff as by Yang and Li) by $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ step-heating (Table 1 and Figure 1) and single crystal fusing by CO_2 laser (Table 2 and Figure 2) on K-feldspars. Our dating results indicate that the tuffs were heavily contaminated by old crystals, thus explanation of the dating result should be made with caution.

[4] Third, *Liu and Liu* [2005] also questioned our explanation of the sequence of the ignimbrite overlying the Daohugou deposits, and cited some references to argue that

the deposits overlies the ignimbrites. Unfortunately, none of these authors has provided any evidence of direct contact between the ignimbrite and the Daohugou deposits in their papers [*Liu et al.*, 2004], and neither have Liu and Liu done so in their comment. On the contrary, the weathered layer shown in our paper (the direct contact) between the ignimbrite and the fossil bearing shales [*He et al.*, 2004] had, for the first time, provided convincing evidence to reveal the stratigraphic sequence in this region, which unambiguously indicated that the fossil bearing shales are younger than the dated ignimbrite as mentioned in our original paper [*He et al.*, 2004].

[5] Fourth, our recent field investigation has clarified some observations while providing more evidence in support of our original opinion [*Wang et al.*, 2005]. We have discovered a new locality (Jiangzhangzi, Wuhua, N 41° 24' 26.8"; E 119° 15' 31.6") in Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia, where the fossil-bearing Daohugou bed deposits are found to be directly overlying the ignimbrites, indicates that the Daohugou bed is younger than the ignimbrite (Figure 3). The red or greenish shales and mudstone that were previously referred to the Tuchengzi Formation near the Daohugou village are now recognized as representing the lowermost deposits of the Daohugou bed (contrary to *Liu and Liu's* [2005] suggestion of the upper or top part of the section), with a broad distribution than previously understood.

Table 1. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ Step Heating Data for the K- Feldspars From Interbedded Tuff L3007 in Daohugou Bed^a

| T | $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | | $^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | | $^{36}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | | $^{40}\text{Ar}^*/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | | Age, Ma | |
|------|---------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|-----|
| | | ± | | ± | | ± | | ± | | ± |
| 700 | 12.73 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.0028 | 0.0000 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 169.2 | 1.2 |
| 750 | 12.11 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 166.6 | 1.0 |
| 800 | 11.55 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 161.3 | 1.0 |
| 850 | 11.71 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 155.7 | 0.9 |
| 900 | 11.96 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 168.0 | 1.0 |
| 950 | 14.10 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 196.4 | 1.1 |
| 1000 | 15.24 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 211.0 | 1.2 |
| 1050 | 16.10 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 221.7 | 1.3 |
| 1100 | 16.97 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.0012 | 0.0000 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 232.2 | 1.3 |
| 1150 | 17.81 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 245.5 | 1.4 |
| 1200 | 19.10 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 262.7 | 1.5 |
| 1230 | 20.12 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 275.5 | 1.5 |
| 1250 | 21.32 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 290.7 | 1.6 |
| 1280 | 21.55 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 293.0 | 1.6 |
| 1320 | 22.30 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.0016 | 0.0000 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 299.0 | 1.7 |
| 1380 | 25.25 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 333.6 | 1.9 |
| 1500 | 36.20 | 0.07 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.0037 | 0.0001 | 35.1 | 0.1 | 459.5 | 2.6 |

^aNote: All the uncertainties are 1 s.d. L3007-Kfs nw = 30.24mg, J = 0.008264 ± 0.000050.

¹State Key Lab of LTE, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

²Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

³School of Marine, Chinese University of Geosciences, Beijing, China.

⁴Brussels, Belgium.




Figure 1. The age spectrum of the K-feldspars from interbedded tuff L3007 in Daohugou Bed indicates old crystals contamination.




Figure 2. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ single crystal fusion data for the K-feldspars from interbedded tuff L4007 in Daohugou Bed indicates old crystals contamination.

Table 2. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ Single Crystal Fusion Data for the K-Feldspars From Interbedded Tuff L4007 in Daohugou Bed^a

| $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | \pm | $^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | \pm | $^{36}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | \pm | $^{40}\text{Ar}^*/^{39}\text{Ar}$ | \pm | Age, Ma | \pm |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| 87.44 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 87.4 | 0.3 | 1165.4 | 7.1 |
| 141.13 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 141.1 | 0.6 | 1628.3 | 9.4 |
| 145.73 | 1.32 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.0386 | 0.0012 | 134.3 | 1.3 | 1576.1 | 12.8 |
| 71.68 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 71.5 | 0.2 | 1001.7 | 6.5 |
| 12.06 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 203.5 | 1.5 |
| 76.91 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.0019 | 0.0001 | 76.4 | 0.4 | 1053.7 | 7.2 |
| 88.64 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | 88.4 | 0.2 | 1175.6 | 7.1 |
| 74.81 | 10.04 | 3.52 | 0.79 | 0.2097 | 0.0310 | 12.8 | 4.4 | 225.8 | 72.8 |
| 68.82 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.0433 | 0.0012 | 56.0 | 0.4 | 827.6 | 7.2 |
| 55.29 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 55.1 | 0.1 | 816.8 | 5.2 |
| 138.06 | 2.68 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.0837 | 0.0026 | 113.3 | 2.3 | 1403.9 | 21.1 |
| 115.47 | 1.46 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.0736 | 0.0022 | 93.7 | 1.3 | 1226.6 | 14.4 |
| 135.50 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 135.5 | 0.1 | 1585.3 | 8.2 |
| 155.64 | 2.07 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.0027 | 0.0001 | 154.8 | 2.1 | 1729.9 | 17.1 |
| 96.43 | 0.89 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 | 96.2 | 0.9 | 1250.5 | 10.8 |
| 46.76 | 7.35 | 1.46 | 0.19 | 0.0212 | 0.0038 | 40.5 | 7.0 | 633.6 | 92.1 |

^aNote: All the uncertainties are 1 s.d. L4007-Kfs single crystal fusion, $J = 0.01039 \pm 0.00008$.



Figure 3. Field photo showing the direct contact between the lowermost Daohugou deposits and the underlying ignimbrites at the Jiangzhangzi locality (N 41° 24' 26.8"; E 119° 15' 31.6"), indicates that the Daohugou bed is younger than the ignimbrite.

[6] Finally, *Liu and Liu* [2005] have shown in their Figure 3 four field photos to indicate the Daohugou deposits underlying the Yixian Formation, which support one of our conclusion that the Daohugou bed is older than Yixian Formation. However, These photos are completely irrelevant to the topics discussed in their comment. With all the arguments in their comment, we are puzzled why they cannot just provide a single field photo showing the direct contact between the Daohugou deposits and the ignimbrites, the age of the latter is now generally concurred by all of us.

[7] **Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the NSFC (40221402, 40121202), Chinese Academy of Sciences (KZCX3-SW-

142), Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects of China (TG000077700).

References

- Chen, W., et al. (2004), Isotope geochronology of the fossil-bearing beds in the Daohugou area, Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia (in Chinese with English abstract), *Geol. Bull. Chin.*, 23, 1166–1169.
- He, H. Y., X. L. Wang, Z. H. Zhou, R. X. Zhu, F. Jin, F. Wang, X. Ding, and A. Boven (2004), $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of ignimbrite from Inner Mongolia, northeastern China, indicates a post-Middle Jurassic age for the overlying Daohugou Bed, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L20609, doi:10.1029/2004GL020792.
- Liu, Y., and Y. Liu (2005), Comment on “ $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of ignimbrite from Inner Mongolia, northeastern China, indicates a post-Middle Jurassic age for the overlying Daohugou Bed” by H. Y. He et al., *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L12314, doi:10.1029/2005GL022466.
- Liu, Y. Q., et al. (2004), Daohugou biota-bearing lithostratigraphic succession on the southeastern margin of the Ningcheng basin (in Chinese with English abstract), *Geol. Bull. Chin.*, 23, 1181–1187.
- Ren, D., et al. (2002), Stratigraphic division of the Jurassic in the Daohugou area, Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia (in Chinese with English abstract), *Geol. Bull. Chin.*, 21, 584–588.
- Wang, X. L., et al. (2005), Discussions on the stratigraphy and age of the fossil-bearing Daohugou bed in Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, in press.
- Yang, W., and S. Li (2004), The chronological framework of the Mesozoic volcanic rocks of western Liaoning and its implications for the Mesozoic lithosphere thinning in eastern China, paper presented at Symposium on National Petrology and Continental Geodynamics, Petrol. Comm. of Chin. Soc. for Geol., Haikou, China.
- A. Boven, Graystreet 133, B1050 Brussels, Belgium.
- X. Ding, School of Marine, Chinese University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China.
- H. Y. He, F. Wang, and R. X. Zhu, State Key Lab of LTE, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 9825, Beijing 100029, China. (huaiyue@mail.igcas.ac.cn)
- F. Jin, X. L. Wang, and Z. H. Zhou, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 643, Beijing 100044, China.